

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/538,960	06/14/2005	Laurent Cauvin	PF020161	7506		
24498 Joseph J. Lak	7590 10/01/200	8	EXAMINER			
Thomson Lie	ensing LLC	SUGLO, JANET L				
2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	PRINCETON, NJ 08543			2857		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/01/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,960	CAUVIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JANET L. SUGLO	2857		

	JANET L. SUGLO	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		l. alam an almanifician si				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		oted daims.				
			DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ripliant Amendment (F1OL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	ce because:			
	pass are application in	and it is anowall				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2857						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations to proposed amending claims have never been claimed before, and change the scope of the rejected claims. Therefore, they raise new issues.